The process of internal conversion is at the heart of many chemical reactions by being responsible for the conversion of electronic excitation energy into vibrational energy.[1,2] The ultrafast process from higher electronic states assures that it can rival competing pathways. This also implies that nuclear motion in only a very few vibrational degrees of freedom are involved in internal conversion—if more were to take part the rate of energy dissipation would simply not be able to compete with the rate of photochemical reaction or radiative transition. This is inherently different from thermalized reactions where the total number of degrees of freedom of the system influences the rate of reaction because of the statistical distribution of energy. Such statistical behavior is not necessarily observed by ultrafast, excited-state reactions.[3–5]

A general picture of the structural parameters that control the rate of an internal conversion process leading to energy dissipation is not easily deducible. Herein, we demonstrate how the rate of such an internal conversion process can change by more than an order of magnitude for related molecules as a consequence of minor structural variations. We disentangle the complex process and identify one specific vibrational mode involved through the use of the techniques time-resolved mass-spectrometry (TRMS) and time-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (TRPES) on four molecules: 2-methylcyclobutanone (2-MeCB), 2-methylcyclopentanone (2-MeCP), 3-methylcyclopentanone (3-MeCP), and 3-ethylcyclopentanone (3-EtCP). These four molecules are structurally similar to cyclobutanone (CB), cyclopentanone (CP), and cyclohexanone (CH) investigated in our previous works (Figure 1).[6,7]

Following excitation to S2, (n,3s) state, the temporal evolution of the ion currents presented in Figure 2 closely resemble that of the (n,3s) photoelectron peak also given in the figure for 2-MeCB and 2-MeCP. Consequently, the decay in the ion yield can be used as a measure of the lifetime of the (n,3s) state. A similar relationship was observed for the unsubstituted cycloketones.[6] The ion currents reveal a set of timescales for the (n,3s)→(n,π*) transition, that is, S1→S0, and thereby for the conversion of part of the electronic energy of the system into vibrational energy, ranging over more than an order of magnitude from 0.37±0.01 ps for 2-MeCB to 5.79±0.16 ps for 3-MeCP (Table 1). A clear grouping of the timescales is seen in terms of the ring size. For a particular ring size substitution in the 2-position but not in the 3-position leads to a faster transition. Here we disentangle the dynamical process to identify specific properties leading to these inter- and intragroup timescale differences. In contrast to thermalized ground-state reactions, there is no apparent relationship between the rate of transition and the density of vibrational states as approximately given by the molecular size (Figure 1).

**Table 1:** Timescales for the decay of the parent ion current for the substituted cycloketones along with their unsubstituted species after excitation to the (n,3s) state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>$t_1$ [ps]</th>
<th>$t_2$ [ps]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cyclobutanone</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
<td>0.74±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methylcyclobutanone</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
<td>0.37±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclopentanone</td>
<td>3.05±0.01</td>
<td>5.79±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methylcyclopentanone</td>
<td>3.05±0.01</td>
<td>5.79±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-methylcyclopentanone</td>
<td>5.16±0.17</td>
<td>9.67±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexanone</td>
<td>5.16±0.17</td>
<td>9.67±0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] From Ref. [6].
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TRPES provides more information than the timescale for electronic population transfer. Figure 3 depicts the transient of the spectrally integrated (n,3s) photoelectron peak of 2-MeCP, where the integration has been performed over the lower and upper energy halves of the peak separately (see the Supporting Information). Such an integration scheme reveals a spectrally oscillating feature of the peak with a period of 0.42 ± 0.01 ps (Table 2)—an unequivocal sign of nuclear motion affecting the electronic structure. The period corresponds to a frequency of about 80 cm⁻¹, thereby revealing exactly which vibrational mode is dominant in mediating the coupling between the two electronic states. In the ground state, this low-frequency ring-puckering mode primarily involves the C-CO-C moiety of the molecule with the carbonyl group bending out of the molecular plane (see the Supporting Information). A similar oscillatory feature of the (n,3s) photoelectron peak was previously observed for CB. However, for CB the period of oscillation of 0.95 ± 0.05 ps corresponds to a much lower vibrational frequency of about 35 cm⁻¹.[6] This large difference in frequency is an important factor in explaining the observed different timescales, however, it is not fully sufficient on its own. As we will show below, at least three factors have to be taken into account: the frequency of the specific vibrational mode involved, the difference in energy of the excited state between the Franck-Condon and equilibrium geometries, that is, the difference of vertical and adiabatic excitation energies, and the total density of vibrational states of the molecule.

The distinct ability of the different molecules to more or less efficiently dispose of the electronic energy upon photoexcitation as given by the timescale of the (n,3s) → (n,p*) transition can be rationalized by considering the model depicted in Figure 4. Once excited to the (n,3s) state the molecule will vibrate in some modes and the TRPES data show that only one (or a few) of these plays an important role in the route to the lower electronic state. We will restrict ourselves to a one-dimensional representation. In one dimension, the position of the crossing point with the lower electronic surface (or possibly avoided crossing) is given by two factors: the frequency of the vibrational mode in question and the energy difference between the Franck-Condon and equilibrium geometries, that is, the difference of vertical and adiabatic excitation energies, and the total density of vibrational states of the molecule.

Table 2: Timescales for the decay of the (n,3s) photoelectron peak for two substituted cycloketones along with their unsubstituted species. Also given in two cases is the period, T, of the oscillation in energy of the peak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>r₁ [ps]</th>
<th>r₂ [ps]</th>
<th>T [ps]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cyclobutanone</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methylcyclobutanone</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclopentanone</td>
<td>5.37 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methylcyclopentanone</td>
<td>3.47 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] From Ref. [6]. [b] Average period of the oscillation from the fit to the low- and high-energy parts of the (n,3s) photoelectron peak.

The (n,3s) photoelectron peak was previously observed for CB. However, for CB the period of oscillation of 0.95 ± 0.05 ps corresponds to a much lower vibrational frequency of about 35 cm⁻¹.[6] This large difference in frequency is an important factor in explaining the observed different timescales, however, it is not fully sufficient on its own. As we will show below, at least three factors have to be taken into account: the frequency of the specific vibrational mode involved, the difference in energy of the excited state between the Franck-Condon and equilibrium geometries, that is, the difference of vertical and adiabatic excitation energies, and the total density of vibrational states of the molecule.
The enlarged region shows two possible pathways: one adiabatic compared to CP further corroborates this difference. The vibrationally congested (equilibrium geometries is 0.32 eV for CB, whereas it is only cluster singles and doubles (EOM-CCSD), the energy difference

The smaller, strained CB is able to relieve ring-strain in the apparent by comparison of the unsubstituted cycloketones. The intergroup timescale differences can largely be understood in terms of the inverse relationship between ring size and intensity of vibrational bands and, thus, release of angle strain in the C-CO-C moiety.[10] The frequency factor, as caused by different curvatures of the potential-energy surface illustrated by the two examples in Figure 4, also contributes to the intergroup timescale differences. The effect is clearly demonstrated by the observed anti-correlation between the vibrational frequency and the rate of transition for CB and 2-MeCP.

The intragroup timescale differences can largely be understood in terms of the frequency factor and how this is affected by substitution. Alkyl substitution in the 2-position leads to a significantly increased rate of transition, whereas this is not the case for substitution in the 3-position as observed when comparing 2-MeCP and 3-MeCP. The central vibrational mode primarily involves motion in the C-CO-C moiety, thus substitution in the 2-position should have a larger effect on the rate of transition compared to the 3-position as it is indeed the case. This observation in turn confirms the conclusion on the non-ergodicity of the process hinted at in our previous work.[9] That is, the dynamics are truly localized in real space. The intergroup timescale differences also reflect this locality, as the apparent nonlocal change of the ring size actually has a very large local effect by significantly affecting the angle of the central C-CO-C moiety.

Although it has been stressed that the dynamics leading to disposal of the electronic energy is truly localized, an increase in the total density of vibrational states on the lower surface does slightly speed up the process. This effect is a consequence of additional vibrational degrees of freedom acting as acceptor modes in the lower electronic state. Comparison between the rates of transition for molecules of different ring sizes does not immediately reveal this aspect as the two other effects discussed play a much larger role. This aspect is, however, revealed by a comparison between the rates of transition for 3-MeCP and 3-EtCP. The addition of an extra CH₂ group increases the density of vibrational states by a factor of about 100 at an energy of 2 eV—approximately the energy difference between the (n,3s) and (n,π⁺) states (see the Supporting Information). However, this factor of 100 only leads to a small decrease in the timescale for transitions from 5.79 ± 0.16 ps to 5.16 ± 0.17 ps—very different from the behavior expected by application of theory in the statistical limit.[11–14]

Herein, we have revealed some salient features of the complex process of internal conversion. Most conclusions derived from the observation that the dynamics leading to a transition from one electronic state to another, and thereby to the transformation of electronic energy into vibrational energy, is inherently localized—only one or a few vibrational modes play a significant role. Merely by small structural variations, the vibrational frequency and the energy available in the excited state can be affected thereby tuning the rate of internal conversion over a range of more than an order of magnitude. A lower frequency and a larger available energy result in a faster process as the molecule can reach a configurational space in closer proximity of the crossing point between the excited states. The total density of vibrational states plays a smaller, secondary role as an increase in this only leads to a very slight increase in the overall rate. In contrast to the standard energy gap laws that neglect the nuclear dependence on the electronic coupling,[12,13] our results clearly show the effect of coherent nuclear motion on these matrix elements.
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Pulling the Levers of Photophysics: How Structure Controls the Rate of Energy Dissipation

Internal conversion: The energy difference between the Franck-Condon and equilibrium geometries and the vibrational frequency of one or a few modes determine the relative importance of adiabatic and nonadiabatic dynamics and thus the rate of electronic energy dissipation (see picture). In the cycloketones, variations in these quantities lead to a difference in the timescale for the $S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ transition.